

### QIHI 2022 Case Study Competition- Rubric

Judge Name:

Team Number:

Criteria	Exceed expectations	Meets expectations	Below expectations	Not attempted	Criterion score
<p><b>Problem Identification and Background Information</b></p> <p><i>20 points</i></p> <p>The presenters demonstrate an excellent understanding of the problem(s) and provide up-to-date background information/context.</p> <p>Current models, concepts, and theories are used to explain the problem and are worked upon to develop the intervention.</p>	<p><i>13 points</i></p> <p>The presenters demonstrate an acceptable understanding of the problem(s) and provide appropriate background information/context.</p>	<p><i>7 points</i></p> <p>The presenters demonstrate a weak understanding of the problem(s) and provide minimal background information/context.</p>	<p><i>0 points</i></p> <p>The problem is poorly identified, if at all. No background/contextual information is provided.</p>	20%	
<p>Comments:</p>					
<p><b>Description and Justification of Solution</b></p> <p><i>35 points</i></p> <p>The purpose and goal of the intervention are specific and realistic. Justification of intervention is convincing, plausible, and supported with evidence.</p> <p>The intervention is described in a step by step manner that could be feasibly reproduced.</p>	<p><i>25 points</i></p> <p>The purpose and goal of the intervention are clearly described. Justification of the intervention is plausible and supported by evidence.</p> <p>The intervention is described in a step by step manner.</p>	<p><i>12 points</i></p> <p>The purpose and goal of the intervention are unclear. Justification of the intervention is poor and is supported with little evidence.</p>	<p><i>0 points</i></p> <p>The purpose and goal are not clearly stated. The intervention is not plausible and no justification or supporting evidence is provided.</p>	35%	

Comments:					
Outcome Assessment	<p><i>20 points</i></p> <p>The presenters excellently evaluate the benefits and consequences (environmental, ethical etc.) of the intervention. These consequences do not present any major feasibility issues.</p> <p>Long term sustainability of intervention is thought out.</p>	<p><i>13 points</i></p> <p>The presenters evaluate the benefits and consequences (environmental, ethical etc.) of the intervention. These consequences present some feasibility issues.</p>	<p><i>7 points</i></p> <p>The presenters provide an incomplete analysis of the benefits and consequences (environmental, ethical etc.) of the intervention. These consequences present major feasibility issues.</p>	<p><i>0 points</i></p> <p>The presenters do not touch on the benefits or consequences of their intervention.</p>	20%
Comments:					

Presentation quality	<p><i>15 points</i></p> <p>Good volume and energy; proper pace and diction; avoidance of distracting gestures; professional appearance.</p> <p><b>If the presenters used a slide-deck:</b> Slides are easy to follow and support ideas with visuals (diagrams, flow charts, tables).</p>	<p><i>10 points</i></p> <p>Adequate volume and energy; generally good pace and diction; few or no distracting gestures; professional appearance.</p> <p><b>If the presenters used a slide-deck:</b> Slides are somewhat easy to follow and support ideas with a few visuals (diagrams, flow charts, tables).</p>	<p><i>5 points</i></p> <p>More volume/energy needed at times; pace too slow or fast; some distracting gestures or posture; adequate appearance.</p> <p><b>If the presenters used a slide-deck:</b> Slides are difficult to follow and take away from the content of the presentation.</p>	<p><i>0 points</i></p> <p>Low volume or energy; pace too slow or fast; poor diction; distracting gestures or posture; unprofessional appearance.</p> <p><b>If the presenters used a slide-deck:</b> Visual aids were poorly used.</p>	15%
Comments:					
Time limit	<p><i>10 points</i></p> <p>Presentation stays within the 10 minute time limit.</p>	<p><i>7 points</i></p> <p>Presentation is up to 30s above time limit</p>	<p><i>3 points</i></p> <p>Presentation exceeds time limit by 1-2 minutes</p>	<p><i>0 points</i></p> <p>Exceeds time limit by over 2 minutes</p>	10%
<b>Total</b>					100%